

CORSHAM COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP (CATG)

**NOTES OF THE CORSHAM COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP (CATG)
MEETING HELD ON 22 JUNE 2016 AT CORSHAM FIRE STATION, BEECHFIELD
ROAD, CORSHAM, SN13 9DN.**

7 **Note Tracker**

Please refer to the attached Note Tracker.

If you have any questions about the attached Note Tracker please contact:

Gareth Rogers, Principal Engineer
Traffic & Network Management
direct line: 01225 713384
e-mail: gareth.rogers@wiltshire.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

| | Item | Update | Actions and recommendations | Who |
|----|---|---|-----------------------------|-----|
| | Date of meeting: 22nd June 2016 | | | |
| 1. | Attendees and apologies | | | |
| | <p>Present: Paul Bollen Vaughan Hill Alan Macrae Sheila Parker Gareth Rogers Richard Rogers Simon Scott Philip Whalley Kate Wysocki</p> <p>Apologies: Dick Tonge Spencer Drinkwater</p> | | | |
| 2. | Notes of last meeting | | | |
| | | The notes of the last meeting held on 14th April 2016 were accepted as a true record. | | |
| 3. | Financial Position | | | |
| | | <p>Finance sheet was presented. Budget remaining £ 24,009</p> <p>It was noted that the funding should not be left unspent at the financial year. Ideally, it needs to be allocated or spent</p> | | |

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Page 4

| | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--------------------------------------|----|
| | | | | |
| 4. | Top 5 Priority Schemes | | | |
| a) | Skynet Drive | <p>MOD have confirmed that they intend to close road to traffic in 16/17 at recent meeting with CTC. Cllr P Whalley to contact MOD to request further details.</p> <p>Cllr Whalley has spoken to the MOD and confirmed that one end is to be closed and the business case for closing the other end is being made. The MOD and Roger Witt (Highways) to take this forward.</p> | Leave on agenda | PW |
| b) | Issue No: 3504 Speed of traffic B3353 The Linleys | <p>Scheme has been included in the works programme for 2016/17, and work order issued. Implementation anticipated Summer 16.</p> <p>Gateways have been ordered and it is expected to be completed by the end of July.</p> <p>This issue will be closed when the work has been completed</p> | Leave on agenda until work completed | GR |
| c) | Issue No: 4482 Devizes Road, Box | <p>Proposal to provide an enhancement to the A365 Gateway, with the introduction of Village Gate. PC have confirmed 25% contribution.</p> <p>Scheme has been included in the works programme for 2016/17, and preparation of the work order is underway with view ordering by the end of July.</p> <p>Installation will be around September and the funding has been allocated. This will be closed when the work has been</p> | Leave on agenda until work completed | GR |

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Page 5

| | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|---------|
| | | completed | | |
| d) | <p>Issue 4342 Northey Hill, Box</p> <p>40mph sign at junction of Northey Hill and A4 is obscured by telegraph pole</p> | <p>Scheme has been included in the works programme for 2016/17, and preparation of the work order is underway.</p> <p>Box PC have confirmed 25% contribution.</p> <p>This is being carried out and the issue will close once the work has been completed</p> <p>£2k was allocated by the CATG in case a traffic order was required. It is not needed so some funding will go back into the budget</p> | Leave on agenda until work completed | GR |
| e) | <p>Issue No: 4364 Hither Way Lacock</p> <p>Dangerous crossing across Hither Way from National Trust visitor car park into Lacock. With traffic having to use Hither Way instead of coming through the village and reduced visibility for pedestrians crossing at this point a lower speed limit at present 40mph and a proper pedestrian crossing is required.</p> | <p>Issue has been submitted by Parish Council. The CATG agreed to recommend funding 75% of the assessment if the PC agree to fund 25% - awaiting confirmation from PC.</p> <p>Pedestrian assessment has commenced, report and recommendation will be brought back to the next meeting.</p> <p>Lacock PC have verbally confirmed that they will pay 25% of the costs for the survey</p> <p>A pedestrian count has been carried out and it shows that the criteria for a crossing has been met</p> <p>The challenge is that there is a bend next to where the zebra crossing would ideally go. This may require altering fencing and footpaths. It could also be necessary to have lighting.</p> <p>Discussions with the NT and PC are required to firm up a proposal and regarding funding contributions.</p> | <p>Action - Discuss proposal and costings with the PC and the NT (GR)</p> | GR / KW |

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

| | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|------------|
| | | | | |
| 5. | Other Priority Schemes | | | |
| f) | Issue No: 3870 Emergency Vehicles on Hazelbury Hill | Box Parish Council sent out letters to the residents, 50% were in favour and 50% were against. Box Parish Council will resend letters for a majority vote. Keep Open. No progress to report | Continue to keep open | Box PC |
| g) | Issue 4105 HGV's Speeding on A365 Box | Following the local consultation exercise the Parish Council are considering available options. The freight traffic survey across the county is being undertaken and this issue can be discussed when the results come back. This should be around September 2016 | Keep on agenda for future discussion | Box PC |
| h) | Issue No: 4354 Speeding & Reflectors on Pavement Despite the footpath being built into the road to slow traffic this has not worked. I suggest putting reflectors on the paved area. | The customer has been contacted to advise them that they need to inform the TC of the exact problem and where it is occurring. No contact has been made with the Town Council so no further action is possible | Action – Close the issue stating the reason being that no contact has been made with TC | Corsham TC |

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

| | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|---------------|
| i) | <p>Issue No:4572 Corsham To Chippenham Footpath / Cycle path</p> <p>There is a problem on the route from Corsham to Chippenham for walkers / cyclists because we have to walk on the street which is dangerous. There is no footpath or cycle path, just grass with loads of littered glass dead animals and nettles.</p> | <p>Issue has been considered by the Town Council:</p> <p><i>The issue was taken to Committee recently and it was decided that the proposal to provide a shared cycle path from Corsham to Chippenham should be supported in principle. However, funding would not be made available by the Town Council for any investigations or feasibility studies unless there was a likelihood of the project being funded by Wiltshire Council. This feedback will be considered at the next Corsham CATG.</i></p> <p>All proposals developed through the CATG process need to be funded through CATG Funds / Substantive CATG funds or 3rd party contributions.</p> <p>The TC will consider all proposals but does not want to commit to an open ended or unclear proposal especially when the cost will be substantial.</p> <p>Whilst the scheme is supported, there is no obvious funding stream that is large enough to pay for it and it is beyond the scope of the CATG. It is therefore unlikely at this current time that it will take place.</p> | <p>Action – Close issue. Whilst we support the project it is a major scheme beyond the scope of our work. As there is nothing we can do at this time due to the benefits not justifying the funding enough to make it a priority.</p> | |
| 6. | New Requests / Issues | | | |
| a) | <p>Issue No:4616 A4 Box Railway Bridge</p> <p>Pedestrian safety wrt the A4 bridge crossing the railway near The Bassetts Box. This</p> | <p>Issue was discussed at previous meeting. PC were to re raise the issue with appropriate description of issue, which has now been completed. At last meeting suggestion was made re: Independent Road Safety Audit of the pedestrian provision across the bridge. PC were to consider 25% funding contribution (circa £500).</p> | <p>Leave on agenda until Box PC have made a decision regarding whether they will make the 25% contribution</p> | <p>Box PC</p> |

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Page 8
b)

| | | | | |
|-----------|---|--|--|---------|
| | has been raised at CATG meeting but needs to be formally reopened as it is still considered to be a significant hazard by Box Parish Council. Previously raised Issue No 316367. | Box PC have not yet met to agree the funding. | | |
| | <p>Issue No.:4658 Corsham, Park Lane</p> <p>Cars travelling along Park Lane at the Bath Road end often exceed the 30 mile speed limit. I feel it may be a deterrent if signs were put on this stretch.</p> | <p>Issue has been sent to the Town Council for their consideration.</p> <p>It should be noted that 30mph repeater signs (or the equivalent road markings) are not permitted for use in areas subject to a system of street lighting. Park Lane has a system of street lighting. Concern regarding vehicle speeds should be dealt with through the Community Speedwatch initiative.</p> <p>It is suspected that the speeds may have reduced and a metro count would need to be carried out.</p> | <p>Action – Metro Count request to be put in from Town Council. Possible location may be near entrance to Masons Way (SS)</p> | |
| 7. | Other items | | | |
| a) | Issue No: 3893 Poor quality of block paving in Katherine Park | <p>Roger Witt is currently liaising with the developer. GW to provide update - The developer has agreed to carry out the remedial work to the site in the New Year. Charles Church have asked that a contractor working on behalf of Persimmon Homes carries out this work commencing January 2016</p> <p>Work has started to put a proper base down.</p> <p>The CATG agreed in their view conventional road building materials rather than block paving should be used in new constructions to reduce future maintenance requirements</p> | Leave on agenda until work has been completed. | PB / GW |

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Page 9

| | | | | |
|----|---|--|---|-----------|
| b) | <p>Colerne – Village Hall to Play area footway.</p> <p>Colerne PC I was given to understand that WC had in the past patched the path but it was now beyond patching. John had decided that he would ask for part of the funding from CATG and offer to pay for the balance from the Highways pot.</p> | <p>Path is a Right of Way and maintenance responsibilities lie with Area Highways. P Bollen to update.</p> <p>Cllr Tonge is looking at this with the PC to explore possible funding sources</p> | <p>Leave on agenda awaiting report back from Cllr Tonge</p> | <p>PB</p> |
| d) | <p>Substantive Highways Scheme Fund Bid Application Process for 2016 – 17</p> | <p>The CATG discussed if there are any projects that are ready to go but agreed that there were none</p> <p>The CATG need to keep in mind this potential funding source so that any suitable projects can be firmed up in time to apply next year if the scheme still exists.</p> | | <p>SD</p> |
| | <p>AOB</p> | <p>Pickwick residents wished to pass on their thanks for the work highways have carried out to de-clutter road signs</p> <p>There was a fatal collision at the signals in Lacock which resulted in requests for changes. The police are still investigating this incident and no decisions will be made till this is completed. However some preliminary work is being carried out to look at potential impact of changing the layout.</p> | | |
| 8. | <p>Date of Next Meeting: 27th October 2016 10am Corsham Fire Station</p> | | | |

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Corsham Community Area Transport Group

Highways Officer – Gareth Rogers

1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Corsham Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Corsham Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of approximately £

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications

7. Recommendations

- None